

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

In the 31 October 2007 Office action, the Examiner identified the following two inventions, which the Examiner asserts are "distinct":

Invention I : Claims 1-13 and 22, drawn to a system for identifying an incoming caller to a call-receiving party, classified in class 379, subclass 207.16

Invention II : Claims 14-21, drawn to a system for announcing a particular communication sender of an incoming communication to a particular communication recipient, classified in class 379, subclass 373.02

The Applicant elects identified invention I. Although the Applicant does not necessarily agree with this restriction requirement, the Applicant makes this election without traverse.

If the Examiner has any additional questions, he is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted electronically this 28th day of November 2007.

/Reed Heimbeche#36353/

Reed R. Heimbecher, Esq.
Registration No. 36,353
Customer No. 33486
HEIMBECHER & Assoc., LLC
P.O. Box 33
Hamel, Minnesota 55340-0033
303-279-8888 (TEL)
303-985-0651 (FAX)

cc: Client
Docketing